

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,906	05/26/2006	Kohji Fukatsu	20039.0005USWO	7379
53835 7599 922969999 P.O. BOX 2902 LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/580,906 FUKATSU ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Sudhakar Katakam	1621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress					
Period for Reply	care on the cover enect with the c	on coponacion at						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. J. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCNITHS from the mailing date of the communication. If all the proper states of the communication of the communication of the communication of the states of the communication	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	av 2006.							
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· _								
	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLC C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 G.S.C. § 119(a)	r(u) or (i).						
a) Some c) None or. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	•		- 0					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P							

Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s) Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)		
Take Not Syman Bate	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/580,906 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Lack of Unity

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-3, drawn to an agent for regulating 14273 receptor function comprising a compound represented by the formula (I).

Group II, claims 4-5 and 19-21, drawn to an agent for preventing or treating diseases as recited in the claims comprising a compound having an aromatic ring and a group capable of releasing a cation.

Group III, claims 6-18, drawn to a compound represented by the formula (II), where the substituents are <u>non-heterocyclic</u>. This group may be subjected to further restriction based on the R^a, R^b, R^c, R^d and R^a groups. A single disclosed species, i.e. a single disclosed compound, is hereby requested for search purpose.

Group IV, claims 6-18, drawn to a compound represented by the formula (II), where the substituents are https://example.com/hetrocyclic. This group may be subjected to further restriction based on the R*, R*, R*, R* and R* groups. A single disclosed species, i.e. a single disclosed compound, is hereby requested for search purpose.

Group V, claim 25, drawn to a method of screening for a ligand.

Group VI, claim 26, drawn to a kit for screening a ligand.

Claims 22-24 are non-statutory class because they belongs to "Use of" claims, and will be re-grouped after proper correction to the claims.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or Application/Control Number: 10/580,906

Art Unit: 1621

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claims of group I-VI belongs to divergent subject matter, and there is no common technical feature to combine the groups, and hence the restriction is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic
invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so
linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- A telephone call was not made because of the complex nature of claims.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

Application/Control Number: 10/580,906

Art Unit: 1621

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/580,906 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sudhakar Katakam/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621 /Peter G O'Sullivan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621